

Varieties of Banach algebras

P. G. Dixon

1. Varieties: Algebraic Motivation.
2. Varieties of Banach Algebras: the Birkhoff Theorem.
3. Semivarieties.
4. Varieties of C^* -algebras.
5. Unital varieties.

[This document and a preprint of my latest paper on the subject may be found at <http://www.sheffield.ac.uk/~pm1pgd/research/p36.html>]

Algebraic Motivation

Consider linear associative algebras over the complex field.

Definition 1 A *variety* of algebras is a (non-empty) class of algebras closed under subalgebras, quotients, products and isomorphic images.

Definition 2 Let $p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a polynomial (unless otherwise specified, this will mean a polynomial in non-commuting indeterminates, without constant term). We say that an algebra A *obeys the law* $p = 0$ iff

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in A).$$

Example 1 An algebra “obeys the law $XY - YX = 0$ ” iff it is commutative.

Theorem 1 (Birkhoff’s Theorem) For a class \mathcal{V} of algebras the following are equivalent:

1. \mathcal{V} is a variety (closed under subalgebras, quotients, products and isomorphic images);
2. For some set P of polynomials

$$\mathcal{V} = \{A : A \text{ obeys the law } p = 0 \quad \forall p \in P\}.$$

Varieties of Banach algebras

Definition 3 If $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a family of Banach algebras, their *product* is the Banach algebra

$$\prod_{\lambda} A_{\lambda} = \{x = (x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} : \|x\| = \sup_{\lambda} \|x_{\lambda}\| < \infty\}.$$

Definition 4 A (non-empty) class \mathcal{V} of Banach algebras is a *variety* if it is closed under taking: (a) closed subalgebras, (b) quotients by closed ideals, (c) products in the sense just described, and (d) images under isometric isomorphisms.

When we speak of a *polynomial*, we shall mean a polynomial over the complex field, in finitely many non-commuting indeterminates, without constant term. (Polynomials with constant term play an analogous rôle in the theory of varieties of unital Banach algebras.)

If $p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a polynomial and A is a Banach algebra, we denote by A_1 the closed unit ball of A and define

$$\|p\|_A = \sup\{\|p(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| : x_1, \dots, x_n \in A_1\}.$$

Defining

$$\|p\|_1 = \Sigma(\text{moduli of the coefficients of } p),$$

we have $\|p\|_A \leq \|p\|_1$ for all polynomials p and Banach algebras A .

Theorem 2 (Birkhoff for Banach algebras) *A class \mathcal{V} of Banach algebras is a variety if and only if there is a non-negative real-valued function $p \mapsto K_p$ on the set of all polynomials such that*

$$\mathcal{V} = \{A : \|p\|_A \leq K_p \quad \forall \text{ polynomials } p\}.$$

Essentially, this says that varieties are defined by polynomial inequalities. The two extreme cases are worth noting. First, if $K_p = 0$ then saying $\|p\| \leq K_p$ is equivalent to saying $p \equiv 0$ in A . Secondly, if $K_p \geq \|p\|_1$, then the inequality $\|p\|_A \leq K_p$ is automatically satisfied, and therefore represents no constraint on the algebra A . Thus a class defined by any set of polynomial identities and inequalities can be portrayed as being defined by a function $p \mapsto K_p$.

In [3] we have given a generalization of the original Birkhoff Theorem to universal algebras with relations from which this Banach algebra version may be derived.

Remark 1 For a class of Banach algebras \mathcal{V} and a polynomial p , if

$$\|p\|_{\mathcal{V}} := \sup\{\|p\|_A : A \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

then Theorem 2 says that \mathcal{V} is a variety iff

$$\mathcal{V} = \{A : \|p\|_A \leq \|p\|_{\mathcal{V}}\},$$

Example 1: Q-algebras

Definition 5 A Banach algebra A is a **uniform algebra** if it is a closed subalgebra of $C(X)$ for some X .

Definition 6 (Q-algebras: J. Wermer, [13]) A Banach algebra A is a **Q-algebra (IQ-algebra)** if it is isomorphic (isometrically isomorphic) to the quotient of a uniform algebra by a closed ideal.

Example 2 The class IQ of all IQ-algebras is a variety. In fact, it is the smallest variety containing \mathbb{C} : the **variety generated by \mathbb{C}** . It is therefore defined by the polynomial norm inequalities

$$\|p\|_A \leq \|p\|_{\mathbb{C}} = \sup\{|p(z_1, \dots, z_n)| : |z_i| \leq 1\}.$$

This is just Craw's Lemma for IQ-algebras.

Example 2: Operator algebras

Example 3 Let R , IR be the classes of algebras isomorphic (isometrically isomorphic) to closed subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ for H a (complex) Hilbert space (“operator algebras”).

(WARNING: these subalgebras are not necessarily self-adjoint.)

Theorem 3 (Bernard) *The class IR is closed under quotients.*

It is then easy to see that IR is a variety: the variety generated by $\mathcal{B}(H)$, where H is separable Hilbert space.

Corollary 1 *A Banach algebra A is isometrically isomorphic to an operator algebra iff*

$$\|p\|_A \leq \|p\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$$

for all polynomials p .

Remark 2 Since

$$\mathbb{C} \cong \{\lambda I \in \mathcal{B}(H) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

\mathbb{C} is an IR-algebra, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|p\|_{IR} &\geq \|p\|_{\mathbb{C}} = \|p\|_{IQ}; \\ IQ &\subseteq IR. \end{aligned}$$

This inclusion is proper, since IR contains non-commutative algebras, but, more subtly, there are commutative IR algebras which are not Q-algebras (Varopoulos).

In order to decide whether a given algebra is isometrically isomorphic to an operator algebra we are asked to look at all polynomials.

Question can we reduce this?

Is it sufficient to consider

- only polynomials in one variable (the classical von Neumann inequality)? Answer: **NO** (PGD 1995) but that answer depended strongly on the fact that we are considering non-unital polynomials.
- only polynomials in less than a certain finite number of variables?
- only polynomials of less than a certain degree?
- only finitely many polynomials?

Proof of Theorem 2 The ‘if’ part is straightforward. We prove the ‘only if’.

For every polynomial p and every $\varepsilon > 0$, we find $C(p, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\|p\|_{C(p, \varepsilon)} > \|p\|_{\mathcal{C}} - \varepsilon.$$

If M is the product of all the algebras $C(p, \varepsilon)$, then $M \in \mathcal{C}$ and

$$\|p\|_M = \|p\|_{\mathcal{C}}$$

for every polynomial p .

It is clear that

$$\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{A : \|p\|_A \leq \|p\|_{\mathcal{C}} \text{ for all } p\}.$$

We prove the reverse inclusion. Suppose A is a Banach algebra such that, for all polynomials p ,

$$\|p\|_A \leq \|p\|_{\mathcal{C}} = \|p\|_M.$$

Put

$$X = \left(M_1\right)^{A_1},$$

the set of all functions from A_1 into M_1 .

Let $\Gamma = M^X$, meaning the algebra of all bounded functions from X into M , this is the Banach algebra product of X copies of M , so $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}$. For $a \in A_1$, define $\gamma_a \in \Gamma$ by

$$\gamma_a(x) = x(a) \quad (x \in X).$$

Then $\|\gamma_a\| = 1$. Let

$$U_0 = \text{subalgebra of } \Gamma \text{ generated by } \{\gamma_a : a \in A_1\},$$

and define a homomorphism

$$\theta : U_0 \rightarrow A \quad \theta(\gamma_a) = a \quad (a \in A_1).$$

A typical element of U_0 is

$$u = p(\gamma_{a_1}, \dots, \gamma_{a_n})$$

for some polynomial p and distinct $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A_1$. Then

$$\theta(u) = p(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

We show that θ is well-defined ($u = 0 \Rightarrow \theta(u) = 0$) and continuous with $\|\theta\| \leq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(u)\| &= \|p(a_1, \dots, a_n)\| \\ &\leq \|p\|_A \\ &\leq \|p\|_M \\ &= \sup\{\|p(x(a_1), \dots, x(a_n))\| : x \in X\} \\ &= \sup\{\|u(x)\| : x \in X\} \\ &= \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $U = \overline{U_0}$. Then $\theta : U \rightarrow A$ is surjective, since $\theta : U_0 \rightarrow A$ is already surjective. To show that

$$A \cong U / \ker \theta, \text{ isometrically,}$$

we observe that for $a \in A$ with $\|a\| = 1$ we have $a = \theta(\gamma_a)$ and $\|\gamma_a\| = 1$. This, with $\|\theta\| \leq 1$ proves the natural isomorphism to be isometric.

We have that the closure of \mathcal{C} under

$$\begin{aligned} \text{products} &\Rightarrow \Gamma \in \mathcal{C} \\ \text{closed subalgebras} &\Rightarrow U \in \mathcal{C} \\ \text{quotients} &\Rightarrow U/\ker \theta \in \mathcal{C} \\ \text{isometric isomorphisms} &\Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Corollary 2 *Every variety of Banach algebras is singly generated.*

The algebra M in the above proof is a generator of the variety \mathcal{C} .

Semivarieties

To accommodate Q and R , the classes of Banach algebras isomorphic (i.e. bicontinuously isomorphic) to some IQ-algebra, IR-algebra, respectively, we introduce the notion of a “semi-variety”.

Definition 7 A **semivariety** is a class \mathcal{S} of Banach algebras such that there is a variety \mathcal{V} of Banach algebras such that \mathcal{S} is the class of all Banach algebras (bicontinuously) isomorphic to some algebra in \mathcal{V} .

Theorem 4 (PGD, [2]) *For a non-empty class \mathcal{S} of Banach algebras, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{S} is a semivariety of Banach algebras;
- (ii) there is a function $p \mapsto K(p)$ from the set of all polynomials to the non-negative reals such that \mathcal{S} is precisely the class of Banach algebras A for which there exist $M, \delta > 0$ such that, for every polynomial $p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A_\delta (= \{x \in A : \|x\| \leq \delta\})$,

$$\|p(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq M K(p);$$

- (iii) ditto, but for homogeneous polynomials only.

Examples of Semivarieties

1. The semivariety of all Q-algebras is the class of Banach algebras A for which there exist $M, \delta > 0$ such that, for every polynomial p ,

$$\|p(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq M \|p\|_{\mathbb{C}} \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in A_\delta).$$

2. The semivariety of all R-algebras is the class of Banach algebras A for which there exist $M, \delta > 0$ such that, for every polynomial p ,

$$\|p(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq M\|p\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in A_\delta).$$

The Q-algebras form a proper subset of the commutative R-algebras (Varopoulos [12] — a very deep result).

Lots of varieties

M. H. Farouhi has shown that the lattice of varieties of Banach algebras contains uncountable chains and antichains. . .

as does the lattice of semivarieties (much harder).

Algebraically-defined varieties

Most varieties are not semivarieties — i.e. they are not closed under isomorphisms. However, there is an obvious way to construct some which are.

Definition 8 If \mathcal{V} is a variety defined by polynomial identities, that is, if

$$\mathcal{V} = \{A : A \text{ is a Banach alg. \& } \|p\|_A = 0 \ (p \in \mathcal{P})\}$$

for some set \mathcal{P} of polynomials, then \mathcal{V} is said to be *algebraically defined*, (abbreviated AD).

It is clear that an AD-variety is closed under isomorphisms and is therefore a semivariety. Thus AD \Rightarrow closed under isomorphism.

In fact, the converse is true: every variety which is closed under isomorphisms is AD, (PGD, to appear in Math. Proc Camb. Philos. Soc.), but the proof required the development of a theory of graded Banach algebras.

B*-algebras

A similar theorem holds for Banach *-algebras, but the polynomials are polynomials in the variables and their adjoints and the subalgebras are all *-subalgebras.

B*-algebras form a variety: what are the defining laws?

Theorem 5 (Corollary of Vidav–Palmer) *A Banach *-algebra A (with 1) is a B*-algebra iff*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \|\exp(it(x + x^*))\| &\leq 1 \\ \|\exp(t(x - x^*))\| &\leq 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (x \in A, t \in \mathbb{R}). \quad (1)$$

Let

$$E_n(X) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{X^j}{j!} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_{n,t} = \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{|2t|^j}{j!} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Then (1) is equivalent to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \|E_n(it(x + x^*))\| &\leq 1 + \varepsilon_{n,t} \\ \|E_n(t(x - x^*))\| &\leq 1 + \varepsilon_{n,t} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (x \in A_1) \quad (2)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Problem area 1 — Unital Banach algebras

Definition 9 A **unital Banach algebra** is a Banach algebra with an identity element e such that $\|e\| = 1$.

We can easily rewrite the definition of variety for unital Banach algebras. A **variety of unital Banach algebras** is a class of unital Banach algebras closed under products, quotients by closed ideals and unital subalgebras (meaning: subalgebras containing the identity element of the original algebra).

This definition fits with a more general theory [3], and we get a version of Birkhoff's Theorem (using unital polynomials). Unfortunately, it is not clear how a theory of semivarieties should go. The problem is that not all isomorphisms are isometries, but we do not have a plentiful supply of non-isometric isomorphisms as we do in general Banach algebra theory. The characterization of semivarieties relies heavily on the fact that one can take a Banach algebra, multiply the norm by any constant $\lambda \geq 1$, and obtain an isomorphic Banach algebra. The requirement $\|e\| = 1$ kills this technique for unital algebras.

Question 1 Consider the Q-algebra $C^1[0, 1]$ as a unital Banach algebra. Is there an isomorphism between it and an algebra in the unital variety generated by \mathbb{C} ?

Since $C^1[0, 1]$ is a Q-algebra, there is an isomorphism between it and an IQ-algebra, and this IQ algebra has an identity, but the norm of that identity may be greater than 1.

Any IQ-algebra with an identity of norm 1 is necessarily in the unital variety generated by \mathbb{C} . Therefore, what we are asking is just whether $C^1[0, 1]$ is isomorphic with an IQ-algebra with an identity **of norm 1**.

Given an IQ-algebra with an identity of norm 1, one can find an equivalent norm in which the identity is of norm 1, (use the multiplier norm); however, this will generally destroy the IQ property!

Problem area 2 — The finite basis problem

Definition 10 We say that a variety \mathcal{V} is **finitely based** if it is defined by finitely many laws. That is, there are polynomials p_1, \dots, p_n and constants K_1, \dots, K_n such that

$$\mathcal{V} = \{A : \|p_i\|_A \leq K_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)\}.$$

BEWARE: not the same as **finitely generated**: every variety is finitely generated.

Question 2 Are the varieties IQ, IR finitely based?

These are basic questions. To ask whether IQ is finitely based is to ask whether there is a finite set of polynomials inequalities holding in \mathbb{C} from which all other polynomials inequalities holding in \mathbb{C} may be deduced.

Theorem 6 (PGD) *There exists a variety which is not finitely based. (Constructed using laws of the form*

$$\|X_1 \cdots X_n\|_A \leq f(n),$$

for a rapidly decreasing function f .)

Remark 3 One can also ask for small, not necessarily finite, sets of laws defining a variety — e.g. polynomials in $< n$ variables or polynomials of degree $< k$.

The problem in algebra

The finite basis problem for groups was posed by B. H. Neumann in his doctoral thesis in 1935 and solved negatively by Vaughan-Lee in 1969. A simple example of a variety without a finite basis is that with basis

$$x_1^8 \equiv 1, \quad (x_1^2 x_2^2)^4 \equiv 1, \dots, (x_1^2 \dots x_n^2)^4 \equiv 1, \dots$$

This followed various positive partial results such as:

Theorem 7

(R. C. Lyndon) Every variety of nilpotent groups has a finite basis.

The finite basis problem for associative algebras over fields of characteristic zero (Specht's problem) was solved affirmatively by A. R. Kemer [9].

Summary

- The theory of varieties in pure algebra has a sensible analogue in Banach algebra theory.
- There are a number of variations: semivarieties, unital varieties, varieties of B*-algebras
- Two varieties (IQ and IR) are particularly interesting for functional analysis.
- Algebraic problems such as the finite basis problem have analogues which are deep questions for functional analysts.

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff, “On the structure of abstract algebras”, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, **31** (1935), 433–454.
- [2] P. G. Dixon, “Varieties of Banach algebras”, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2), **27** (1976), 481–487.
- [3] P. G. Dixon, “Classes of algebraic systems defined by universal Horn sentences”, *Algebra Universalis*, **7** (1977), 315–339.
- [4] P. G. Dixon, “Banach algebras satisfying the non-unital von Neumann inequality”, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **27** (1995), 359–362.
- [5] P. G. Dixon, “Graded Banach algebras associated with varieties of Banach algebras” (preprint).
- [6] M. H. Faroughi, “Varieties and semivarieties of Banach algebras” (Ph.D. thesis, University of Sheffield, 1989).
- [7] M. H. Faroughi, “Uncountable chains and antichains of varieties of Banach algebras”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **168** (1992), 184–194.
- [8] M. H. Faroughi, “Subsemivarieties of Q-algebras”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **129** (2001), 1005–1014.
- [9] A. R. Kemer, *Algebra and Logic*, **26** no. 5 (1987), 597–641.
- [10] A. R. Kemer, *Ideals of Identities of Associative Algebras*, (AMS, MMONO, **87**, 1991) ISBN 0-8218-4548-9.
- [11] Yu. A. Bakhturin and A. Yu. Ol’shanskij “Identities” (Part 2 of *Algebra II*, edited by A. I. Kostrikin and I. R. Shafarevich, (Springer, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **18**, 1991), ISBN 3-540-18177-6.
- [12] N. Th. Varopoulos, “On an inequality of von Neumann and an application of the metric theory of tensor products to operator theory”, *J. Functional Analysis*, **16** (1974), 83–100.
- [13] J. Wermer, “Quotient algebras of uniform algebras”, *Symposium on function algebras and rational approximation* (University of Michigan, 1969).

e-mail:P.Dixon@sheffield.ac.uk
WWW: <http://www.shef.ac.uk/~pm1pgd/>
17 October 2003

Dept. of Pure Mathematics,
University of Sheffield,
SHEFFIELD, S3 7RH.